
AMERITECH BONA FIDE REQUEST FORM

1) Requested By

AT&T Communications, Inc.
(Company Name)

227 W. Monroe Street
Suite 20SH11
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(Address)

Eddy Cardella
(Contact Person)

(312)230-8305
(Facsimile Number)

(312)230-6264
(Phone Number)

December 24, 1996
(Date of Request)

(Optional: E-Mail Address)

- 2) Description of the network interconnection capability, function, system, information or feature, or combination requested (use additional sheets of paper to describe the requested service, if necessary):

AT&T Communications in Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Ohio, and Wisconsin requests that Ameritech identify all locations (by end office) within the five states where Ameritech has the ability to route all OS/DA calls received at Ameritech switches to AT&T's OS/DA Platforms. These routed calls will then be answered by AT&T personnel. Furthermore, AT&T requests that Ameritech provide specific information, by switch and by type, identifying where, if anywhere, it is determined to be technically infeasible by Ameritech to route the OS/DA traffic. Wherever Ameritech determines it not to be technically feasible, please provide a detailed explanation to support that determination. In addition, describe the differences, if any exist, in the routing of traffic in a resale environment as opposed to an environment where the Platform is provided without OS/DA as a standard offer.

- 3) Is this a request for a modification or combination to existing services or network elements. If so, please explain the modification or combination and describe the existing service(s) or element(s) or indicate its name.
No.

AMERITECH BONA FIDE REQUEST FORM

- 4) Is this a service or network element available from any other source or a service or network element already offered by Ameritech. If yes, please provide source's name and the name of the service or network element.

Yes. In Indiana, Ameritech has been ordered to provide the Platform without OS/DA as a standard offer. In Illinois, GTE has been ordered to provide routing capabilities for OS/DA. In Connecticut, Southern New England Telephone Company will provide routing capabilities for OS/DA by February 15, 1997. In Georgia, Bell South Telecommunications will provide routing capabilities for OS/DA. In New York, New York Telephone Company will provide routing capabilities for OS/DA "as soon as possible." Please see Attachment A containing the respective commission orders.

- 5) Is there anything special about the manner that you would like this feature, function or combination to operate?

AT&T will provide Trunk Groups from its OS/DA Platform to the Ameritech Local Switching Element. When an AT&T customer dials "0" or "411", AT&T would expect Ameritech to route that customer's call to the appropriate AT&T Trunks.

- 6) If possible, please include a drawing or illustration of how you would like the request to operate and interact with the network.
Please see Attachment B containing a diagram.
- 7) Please describe the expected location life, if applicable, of this capability (i.e., period of time you will use it). Do you view this as a temporary or long range solution?
This is a long range solution.
- 8) If you wish to submit this information on a non-disclosure basis, please indicate this here. If non-disclosure is requested, either attach a prepared Ameritech non-disclosure agreement, or request one to be sent to you for completion or identify an existing agreement that covers this transaction, and properly identify any information you consider confidential.

This BFR form is submitted on a non-disclosure basis subject to the non-disclosure provisions of the Interconnection Agreements of Ameritech and AT&T.

AMERITECH BONA FIDE REQUEST FORM

9) Where do you want this capability deployed?

A) States (Check as many as apply):

X _____ Illinois
X _____ Indiana
X _____ Michigan
X _____ Ohio
X _____ Wisconsin

B) Major metropolitan area(s) (Please list area name):

AT&T is requesting Ameritech to examine and identify the ability to route OS/DA traffic in all areas of Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Ohio, and Wisconsin.

C) Specific wire centers (use a separate document if necessary) or other points of interconnection or access where this capability is desired:

AT&T is requesting Ameritech to examine and identify the ability to route OS/DA traffic in all wire centers in all areas of Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Ohio, and Wisconsin.

10) What is the expected demand for each location, e.g., estimated number of customers, subscriber lines, number of units to be ordered?

Not applicable.

11) What are your pricing assumptions? In order to potentially obtain lower non-recurring or recurring charges you may specify quantity and/or term commitments you are willing to make. Please provide any price/quantity forecast indicating one or more desired pricing points (use additional sheets if necessary).

AT&T needs to know Ameritech's position regarding the technical feasibility of routing traffic prior to making any pricing assumptions.

12) Please include any other information that could be of assistance to Ameritech in the evaluation of this service request:

No options are available.

AMERITECH BONA FIDE REQUEST FORM

13) Please classify the regulatory nature of your request (Check One)

☒ Request for interconnection.

AT&T seeks this information in order to better understand the capabilities of existing architecture/structure for the purpose of future interconnection.

Request for a new network element.

Request for a combination of network elements.

Request for Physical Collocation where there is no space available for either physical or virtual collocation in the requested Ameritech Central Office.

Request for enhanced service capability under the Open Network Architecture (ONA) program.

New service or capability that does not fit into any of the above categories

14) What problem or issue do you wish to resolve? Why is it necessary for you to obtain this feature or if it were unavailable, how would it impair your ability to provide your services?

AT&T is making this request in order to provide its customers with 'state of the art' telecommunications services.

15) Preliminary analysis cost payment option (check one)

***Payment is submitted in accordance with the Interconnection Agreement language contained in Schedule 2.2 Bona Fide Request.**

☒ \$2000 deposit included with request under the understanding that my responsibility for Ameritech's cost shall not exceed this deposit during the first 30 days.

***Payment will be forwarded promptly.**

No deposit is made and (Requesting Carrier Name) agrees to pay Ameritech's total preliminary analysis costs incurred until I cancel the request.

AMERITECH BONA FIDE REQUEST FORM


By submitting this request, except as provided, we agree to promptly compensate Ameritech for any costs it incurs in processing this request, including costs of analyzing, developing, provisioning, or pricing the request, until the Ameritech BFR Manager receives our written cancellation. We also agree to compensate Ameritech for such costs in accordance with the attached practice, if we fail to authorize Ameritech to proceed with development within 30 days of receipt of the 30-day notification, or we fail to order the service within 30 days, in accordance with the final product quotation.

***Furthermore, this request is submitted in accordance with the Interconnection Agreement language contained in Schedule 2.2 Bona Fide Request.**

Eddy Cardella

by: _____

its: _____



FACSIMILE MESSAGE COVER SHEET

Corporate Center
227 W. Monroe
Chicago, IL 60606



FOLLOWING THIS COVER SHEET IS/ARE 6 PAGE(S)

TO: Name: Ms Joann Missig

Room #: _____

City: _____

Phone #: _____

Fax #: 810-483-3738

cc: Priscilla Lacher 630-406-9738

Bonnie Hemphill 335-2927

FROM: Name: Eddy Cardella

Room #: 205H11

City: Chicago, IL 60606

312-230-6264

312-230-8305

12/27/96
Joann
I faxed the
attached diagram
(per Priscilla L.)
to the attached
fax sheets. CB

- ☐ Hand Deliver Urgent
- ☐ Call for Pick-Up



March 10, 1997

Ed Cardella
AT&T
227 W. Monroe
Chicago, Illinois 60606

Dear Mr. Cardella:

In Ameritech's February 6 letter Ameritech provided its preliminary analysis responding to AT&T's Bona Fide Request (BFR) for routing of operator service and directory assistance (OS/DA) traffic originated by AT&T end user customers served by either resale or unbundled local switching to AT&T's OS/DA platform. AT&T had asked that Ameritech assume that AT&T would require custom routing for all classes of service provided by Ameritech on a retail basis. As requested, Ameritech is providing the following inventory of offices where it is not technically feasible to meet AT&T's request using existing equipment.

In the state of Illinois, these are the end offices for which the routing option for Resale and/or Unbundled Local Switching is currently not available based on AT&T's BFR. The offices that have ? under ULS are ok for LCC but available memory is still being investigated.

<u>Office</u>	<u>CLLI</u>	Resale Incapable (significantly greater than <u>25 codes</u>)	ULS Incapable (approximately (<u>25 or less codes</u>))
Arlington Hts.	ARLHILAHCG0	x	?
Chicago-Lakeview	CHCGILLWCG0	x	x
Chicago-Stewart	CHCGILSTCG0	x	?
Chicago-Calumet	CHCGILCADS1	x	x
Chicago-Holboldt	CHCGILHBDS0	x	x
Chicago-Laramie	CHCGILLADS0	x	x
Chicago-Monroe	CHCGILMODS1	x	x
Chicago-OHare	CHCGILOHDS0	x	x
Chicago-Superior	CHCGILSUDS2	x	x
Cicero	CICRILCICG0	x	?



Elk Grove	EVGVILEGDS1	x	x
Joliet West	JOLTILJWDS0	x	x
Lombard	LBRDILLMDS1	x	x
Lemont Main	LEMTILLEDS0	x	x
North Chicago	NCHCILNCDS0	x	
Roselle	RSLILLRZDS0	x	x
Wheaton	WHTNILWHDS0	x	x
Arlington Hts.	ARLHILAHDS0	x	x
Aurora-Main	AURRILARDS0	x	x
Barrington-S.	BNTOILAGDS0	x	x
Lockport	LCPTILLPDS0	x	
Summit	SMMTILSMDS0	x	x

Ameritech is waiting for responses from the switch vendors and/or is still analyzing the following offices. The analysis of these offices will be sent to you as soon as the information is available.

<u>Office</u>	<u>CLLI</u>
Bellwood	BLWDILBWCG0
Chicago-Austin	CHCGILAU CG0
Chicago-Beverly	CHCGILBECG0
Chicago-Edgewater	CHCGILEDCG0
Chicago-Humboldt	CHCGILHBCG0
Chicago-Kildare	CHCGILKICG0
Chicago-Oakland	CHCGILOKCG0
Chicago-Prospect	CHCGILPRCG0
Chicago-Pullman	CHCGILPUCG0
Chicago-Rogers Pk	CHCGILRPCG0
Chicago-S. Chicago	CHCGILSCCG0
Downers Grove	DWGVILDGCG0
Forest	FRSTILFODS0
Galina	GALNILGADS0
Gibson City	GRCYILCBDS0
Oak Park	OKPKILOPCG1

All other offices are currently capable of providing the requested OS/DA routing. However, as also stated in Ameritech's February 6, 1997 letter, "this availability analysis is valid at the time it is completed but line class code availability may increase or decrease in the future based on changes and circumstances in individual switches.

In addition, as also stated in Ameritech's February 6 letter, AT&T may submit BFRs to order the routing of OS/DA traffic from AT&T end user customers served by resale. Ameritech will re-verify the availability of codes at that time. As explained to you in the letter from Ray Thomas to you dated February 24, Ameritech generally does not require a BFR for requests for custom routing in conjunction with unbundled local switching that involves 25 or less line class codes. Ameritech will advise you of any case with a custom routing request for unbundled local switching that is not technically feasible, or will require special construction.

Should AT&T submit a BFR order for OS/DA routing to AT&T's OS/DA platform where an existing switch lacks the capacity or capability to provide the routing without software upgrades or construction of additional switching capacity, Ameritech will provide an estimate of the cost to undertake any technically feasible alternative made available by the specific switch vendor, short of complete switch replacement.

Ameritech's costs for completing this phase of the request were \$8,631.00 (or exceeded \$8,631.00 but per Ameritech's February 6 letter Ameritech will provide the information to AT&T for \$8,631.00. Please remit this amount to Ameritech within 30 days. Ameritech will follow this letter with a summary bill verifying its billing to AT&T for this request.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at (810)443-9900 or by facsimile at (810)483-3738.


Joanne Missig
Bona Fide Request Manager

cc: Bonnie Hemphill



March 10, 1997

Ed Cardella
AT&T
227 W. Monroe
Chicago, Illinois 60606

Dear Mr. Cardella:

In Ameritech's February 6 letter Ameritech provided its preliminary analysis responding to AT&T's Bona Fide Request (BFR) for routing of operator service and directory assistance (OS/DA) traffic originated by AT&T end user customers served by either resale or unbundled local switching to AT&T's OS/DA platform. AT&T had asked that Ameritech assume that AT&T would require custom routing for all classes of service provided by Ameritech on a retail basis. As requested, Ameritech is providing the following inventory of offices where it is not technically feasible to meet AT&T's request using existing equipment:

In the state of Indiana, these are the end offices for which the routing option for Resale and/or Unbundled Local Switching is currently not available based on AT&T's BFR. The offices that have ? under ULS are ok for LCC but available memory is still being investigated.

<u>Office</u>	<u>CLLI</u>	Resale Incapable (significantly greater than 25 codes)	ULS Incapable (approximately 25 or less codes)
Indianapolis-Melrose	IPLSIN0163E	x	x
Indianapolis-Liberty	IPLSIN0254C	x	x
Indianapolis-Clifford	IPLSIN0425E	x	x
Indianapolis-Walnut	IPLSIN0692C	x	x
Indianapolis-State	IPLSIN0978C	x	x
Michigan City	MCCYIN0187C	x	x



Ameritech is waiting for responses from the switch vendors and/or is still analyzing the following offices. The analysis of these offices will be sent to you as soon as the information is available.

<u>Office</u>	<u>CLLI</u>
Alexandria	ALXNIN01DS0
Auburn	AUBNIN01DS0
Chesterfield	CHFDIN01DS0
Elwood	ELWDIN01DS0
Hartford City	HRCYIN01DS0
Fleetwood	IPLSIN0335C
Twinbrook	IPLSIN1089C
Kendallville	KDVLIN01DS0
Middletown	MDTWIN01DS0
Montpelier	MTPLIN01DS0
Nashville	NSVLIN01DS0

All other offices are currently capable of providing the requested OS/DA routing. However, as also stated in Ameritech's February 6, 1997 letter, "this availability analysis is valid at the time it is completed but line class code availability may increase or decrease in the future based on changes and circumstances in individual switches.

In addition, as also stated in Ameritech's February 6 letter, AT&T may submit BFRs to order the routing of OS/DA traffic from AT&T end user customers served by resale. Ameritech will re-verify the availability of codes at that time. As explained to you in the letter from Ray Thomas to you dated February 24, Ameritech generally does not require a BFR for requests for custom routing in conjunction with unbundled local switching that involves 25 or less line class codes. Ameritech will advise you of any case with a custom routing request for unbundled local switching that is not technically feasible, or will require special construction.

Should AT&T submit a BFR order for OS/DA routing to AT&T's OS/DA platform where an existing switch lacks the capacity or capability to provide the routing without software upgrades or construction of additional switching capacity, Ameritech will provide an estimate of the cost to undertake any technically feasible alternative made available by the specific switch vendor, short of complete switch replacement.

Ameritech's costs for completing this phase of the request were \$2,907.00 (or exceeded \$2,907.00 but per Ameritech's February 6 letter Ameritech will provide the information to AT&T for \$2,907.00. Please remit this amount to Ameritech within 30 days. Ameritech will follow this letter with a summary bill verifying its billing to AT&T for this request.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at (810)443-9900 or by facsimile at (810)483-3738.

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Joanne Missig". The signature is written in black ink and is positioned above the printed name.

Joanne Missig
Bona Fide Request Manager

cc: Bonnie Hemphill



March 10, 1997

Ed Cardella
AT&T
227 W. Monroe
Chicago, Illinois 60606

Dear Mr. Cardella:

In Ameritech's February 6 letter Ameritech provided its preliminary analysis responding to AT&T's Bona Fide Request (BFR) for routing of operator service and directory assistance (OS/DA) traffic originated by AT&T end user customers served by either resale or unbundled local switching to AT&T's OS/DA platform. AT&T had asked that Ameritech assume that AT&T would require custom routing for all classes of service provided by Ameritech on a retail basis. As requested, Ameritech is providing the following inventory of offices where it is not technically feasible to meet AT&T's request using existing equipment.

In the state of Michigan, these are the end offices for which the routing option for Resale and/or Unbundled Local Switching is currently not available based on AT&T's BFR. The offices that have ? under ULS are ok for LCC but available memory is still being investigated.

<u>Office</u>	<u>CLLI</u>	<u>Resale Incapable (significantly greater than 25 codes)</u>	<u>ULS Incapable (approximately 25 or less codes)</u>
Brighton	BITNMIESDS0	x	
Dearborn-Oregon	DRBRMIORDS0	x	
Detroit-Hogarth	DTRTMIHGCG0	x	
Detroit-Lenox	DTRTMILXCG0	x	?
Detroit-Plaza	DTRTMIPECG0	x	?
Detroit-Tyler	DTRTMITECG0	x	?
Detroit-Bell	DTRTMIBHDS0	x	
Detroit-Madison	DTRTMIMDDS0	x	x
Detroit-Vinewood	DTRTMIVWDS0	x	



Farmington-Main	FRTNMIMNDS0	x	
Livonia-Main	LIVNMIMNDS0	x	
Monroe-Main	MONRMIMNDS0	x	
Mt. Clemens	MTCLMIMNDS0	x	
Northville	NRVLMIMNDS0	x	
Plymouth-Main	PLMOMIMNDS0	x	x
Pontiac-Main	PNTCMIMNDS0	x	
Port Huron-Main	PTHRMIMNDS1	x	x
Romulus-Main	RMLSMIMNDS0	x	
Southfield-Main	SFLDMIMNDS0	x	x
Troy-Main	TROYMIMNDS0	x	x
Troy-Somerset	TROYMISMDS0	x	x
Warren-Main	WRRNMIMNDS0	x	
Ann Arbor-SE	ANARMISED0	x	
Flint-Main	FLNTMIMNDS1	x	x
Gd. Rapids-East	GDRPMIESDS1	x	x
Highland Pk-Townsend	HGPKMITSDS0	x	
Walled Lake-Main	WDLKMIMNDS0	x	x

Ameritech is waiting for responses from the switch vendors and/or is still analyzing the following offices. The analysis of these offices will be sent to you as soon as the information is available.

<u>Office</u>	<u>CLLI</u>
Auburn Heights	ABHGMIMNCG0
Ann Arbor	ANARMIMNCG1
Birmingham-Main	BRHMMNCG0
Detroit-Redford	DTRTMIRFCG0
Detroit-Pingree	DTRTMIPGCG0
Detroit-Twinbrook	DTRTMITWCG0
Detroit-University	DTRTMIUVC0
Detroit-Vermont	DTRTMIVTCG0
Lansing-South	LNNGMISOCG0
Livonia-Main	LIVNMIMNCG0
Pontiac-Main	PNTCMIMNCG0
Pontiac-West	PNTCMIWSCG0
Roseville	RSVLMIMNCG0
Wayne-Main	WAYNMIMNCG0
Wyandotte-Main	WYNDMIMNCG0
Battle Creek-South	BTCKMISODS0 ✓
Beaverton	BVTNMIVTDS0 ✓
Boyer City	BOCYMIBCDS0 ✓
Chelsea	CHLSMIMNDS0 ✓
Elk Rapids	ELKRMIMNDS0 ✓

Evart	EVRTMIMNDS0
Harrison	HRSNMIMNDS0
Hartland	HRLDMIHRDS0
Kalkaska	KLKSMIKKDS0
Martin	MARTMIMNDS0
New Buffalo	NWBFMIMNDS0
Newaygo	NWAYMINWDS0
Norway	NRWYMINWDS0
Otsego	OTSGMIOSDS0
Rockford SE	RCFRMISED0
Scottville	SCVLMIMNDS0
Sebawing	SBWNMISBDS0
Stephenson	STSNMISTDS0
Vicksburg	VCBGMIMNDS0
Whitmore Lake	WRLKMIMNDS0


All other offices are currently capable of providing the requested OS/DA routing. However, as also stated in Ameritech's February 6, 1997 letter, "this availability analysis is valid at the time it is completed but line class code availability may increase or decrease in the future based on changes and circumstances in individual switches.

In addition, as also stated in Ameritech's February 6 letter, AT&T may submit BFRs to order the routing of OS/DA traffic from AT&T end user customers served by resale. Ameritech will re-verify the availability of codes at that time. As explained to you in the letter from Ray Thomas to you dated February 24, Ameritech generally does not require a BFR for requests for custom routing in conjunction with unbundled local switching that involves 25 or less line class codes. Ameritech will advise you of any case with a custom routing request for unbundled local switching that is not technically feasible, or will require special construction.

Should AT&T submit a BFR order for OS/DA routing to AT&Ts OS/DA platform where an existing switch lacks the capacity or capability to provide the routing without software upgrades or construction of additional switching capacity, Ameritech will provide an estimate of the cost to undertake any technically feasible alternative made available by the specific switch vendor, short of complete switch replacement.

Ameritech's costs for completing this phase of the request were \$7,514.00 (or exceeded \$7,514.00 but per Ameritech's February 6 letter Ameritech will provide the information to AT&T for \$7,514.00. Please remit this amount to Ameritech within 30 days. Ameritech will follow this letter with a summary bill verifying its billing to AT&T for this request.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at (810)443-9900 or by facsimile at (810)483-3738.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Joanne Missig". The signature is written in black ink and is positioned above the printed name and title.

Joanne Missig
Bona Fide Request Manager

cc: Bonnie Hemphill



March 10, 1997

Ed Cardella
AT&T
227 W. Monroe
Chicago, Illinois 60606

Dear Mr. Cardella:

In Ameritech's February 6 letter Ameritech provided its preliminary analysis responding to AT&T's Bona Fide Request (BFR) for routing of operator service and directory assistance (OS/DA) traffic originated by AT&T end user customers served by either resale or unbundled local switching to AT&T's OS/DA platform. AT&T had asked that Ameritech assume that AT&T would require custom routing for all classes of service provided by Ameritech on a retail basis. As requested, Ameritech is providing the following inventory of offices where it is not technically feasible to meet AT&T's request using existing equipment.

In the state of Ohio, these are the end offices for which the routing option for Resale and/or Unbundled Local Switching is currently not available based on AT&T's BFR. The offices that have ? under ULS are ok for LCC but available memory is still being investigated.

<u>Office</u>	<u>CLLI</u>	<u>Resale Incapable (significantly greater than 25 codes)</u>	<u>ULS Incapable (approximately 25 or less codes)</u>
Akron-Stadium	AKRNOH7878E	x	?
Akron-University	AKRNOH8686E	x	x
Cleveland-Glenville	CLEVOH4545E	x	x
Columbus-29	CLMBOH2929C	x	?
Dayton-220	DYTNOH2222J	x	x
Euclid-731	ECLDOH7328E	x	x
Perrysburg	PRBGOH1487A	x	?
Rocky River	RKRVOH3333E	x	?
South Euclid	SECLOH3838E	x	?



Ameritech is waiting for responses from the switch vendors and/or is still analyzing the following offices. The analysis of these offices will be sent to you as soon as the information is available.

<u>Office</u>	<u>CLLI</u>
Barberton OH	BRTNOH7474E
Shadyside	CLEVOH7474E
Columbus-26	CLMBOH2626C
Columbus-27	CLMBOH2727C
Dayton	DYTNOH2929C
Perrysburg-872	PRBGOH1487A
Toledo-531	TOLDOH5353C
London	LONDOH8585A
Upper Arlington	UPAROH4848C
Upper Sandusky	UPSNOH2929A
Youngstown	YNTWOH7474E


All other offices are currently capable of providing the requested OS/DA routing. However, as also stated in Ameritech's February 6, 1997 letter, "this availability analysis is valid at the time it is completed but line class code availability may increase or decrease in the future based on changes and circumstances in individual switches.

In addition, as also stated in Ameritech's February 6 letter, AT&T may submit BFRs to order the routing of OS/DA traffic from AT&T end user customers served by resale. Ameritech will re-verify the availability of codes at that time. As explained to you in the letter from Ray Thomas to you dated February 24, Ameritech generally does not require a BFR for requests for custom routing in conjunction with unbundled local switching that involves 25 or less line class codes. Ameritech will advise you of any case with a custom routing request for unbundled local switching that is not technically feasible, or will require special construction.

Should AT&T submit a BFR order for OS/DA routing to AT&T's OS/DA platform where an existing switch lacks the capacity or capability to provide the routing without software upgrades or construction of additional switching capacity, Ameritech will provide an estimate of the cost to undertake any technically feasible alternative made available by the specific switch vendor, short of complete switch replacement.


Ameritech's costs for completing this phase of the request were \$5,530.00 (or exceeded \$5,530.00 but per Ameritech's February 6 letter Ameritech will provide the information to AT&T for \$5,530.00. Please remit this amount to Ameritech within 30 days. Ameritech will follow this letter with a summary bill verifying its billing to AT&T for this request.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at (810)443-9900 or by facsimile at (810)483-3738.

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Joanne Missig". The signature is fluid and elegant, with the first name "Joanne" and last name "Missig" clearly distinguishable.

Joanne Missig
Bona Fide Request Manager

cc: Bonnie Hemphill



March 10, 1997

Ed Cardella
AT&T
227 W. Monroe
Chicago, Illinois 60606

Dear Mr. Cardella:

In Ameritech's February 6 letter Ameritech provided its preliminary analysis responding to AT&T's Bona Fide Request (BFR) for routing of operator service and directory assistance (OS/DA) traffic originated by AT&T end user customers served by either resale or unbundled local switching to AT&T's OS/DA platform. AT&T had asked that Ameritech assume that AT&T would require custom routing for all classes of service provided by Ameritech on a retail basis. As requested, Ameritech is providing the following inventory of offices where it is not technically feasible to meet AT&T's request using existing equipment.

In the state of Wisconsin, these are the end offices for which the routing option for Resale and/or Unbundled Local Switching is currently not available based on AT&T's BFR. The offices that have ? under ULS are ok for LCC but available memory is still being investigated.

<u>Office</u>	<u>CLLI</u>	Resale Incapable (significantly greater than 25 codes)	ULS Incapable (approximately 25 or less codes)
Milwaukee-Wright St	MILWWI17CG0	x	?
Milwaukee-Hilltop	MILWWI27CG0	x	
Milwaukee-Cleveland	MILWWI30CG0	x	?
Milwaukee-N 26 th St.	MILWWI48CG0	x	x



Ameritech is waiting for responses from the switch vendors and/or is still analyzing the following offices. The analysis of these offices will be sent to you as soon as the information is available.

<u>Office</u>	<u>CLLI</u>
Appleton	APPLWI01CG0
Madison-Main	MDSNWI11CG0
Hales Corner	MILWWI25CG0
Milwaukee-Hilltop	MILWWI27CG1
Milwaukee-Mitchell	MILWWI34CG0
Milwaukee-Fairway	MILWWI45CG0
Berlin	BRLNWI01DS0
Green Lake	GNLKWI11DS0
Omro	OMROWI11DS0
Princeton	PRTNWI11DS0
Red Granite	RDGRWI11DS0
Winneconne	WNCNWI11DS0
Sussex	SUSXWI46DS0

All other offices are currently capable of providing the requested OS/DA routing. However, as also stated in Ameritech's February 6, 1997 letter, "this availability analysis is valid at the time it is completed but line class code availability may increase or decrease in the future based on changes and circumstances in individual switches.

In addition, as also stated in Ameritech's February 6 letter, AT&T may submit BFRs to order the routing of OS/DA traffic from AT&T end user customers served by resale. Ameritech will re-verify the availability of codes at that time. As explained to you in the letter from Ray Thomas to you dated February 24, Ameritech generally does not require a BFR for requests for custom routing in conjunction with unbundled local switching that involves 25 or less line class codes. Ameritech will advise you of any case with a custom routing request for unbundled local switching that is not technically feasible, or will require special construction.

Should AT&T submit a BFR order for OS/DA routing to AT&T's OS/DA platform where an existing switch lacks the capacity or capability to provide the routing without software upgrades or construction of additional switching capacity, Ameritech will provide an estimate of the cost to undertake any technically feasible alternative made available by the specific switch vendor, short of complete switch replacement.

Ameritech's costs for completing this phase of the request were \$2,741.00 (or exceeded \$2,741.00 but per Ameritech's February 6 letter Ameritech will provide the information to AT&T for \$2,741.00. Please remit this amount to Ameritech within 30 days. Ameritech will follow this letter with a summary bill verifying its billing to AT&T for this request.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at (810)443-9900 or by facsimile at (810)483-3738.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Joanne Missig".

Joanne Missig
Bona Fide Request Manager

cc: Bonnie Hemphill



April 3, 1997

Ed Cardella
AT&T
227 W. Monroe
Chicago, Illinois 60606

Dear Mr. Cardella:

This is an update to the March 10, 1997 letter. Analysis of all Ameritech offices has now been completed and this is the current information. You will notice some offices on the March 10 list have been removed. As a result of further analysis, we have determined we can provide your request in these offices.

Ameritech is providing an updated report of the inventory of offices where it is not technically feasible to meet AT&T's request for routing of operator service and directory assistance (OS/DA) traffic originated by AT&T end user customers served by either resale or unbundled local switching to AT&T's OS/DA platform.

In the state of Illinois, these are the offices for which the routing option for Resale and/or Unbundled Local Switching (ULS) is currently not available based on AT&T's BFR.

Office	CLLI	Resale Incapable (significantly greater than 25 codes)	ULS Incapable (approximately 25 or less codes)
Chicago-Calumet	CHCGILCADS1	X	X
Chicago-Holboldt	CHCGILHBDS0	X	X
Chicago-Laramie	CHCGILLADS0	X	X
Chicago-Monroe	CHCGILMODS1	X	X
Chicago-OHare	CHCGILOHDS0	X	X
Chicago-Superior	CHCGILSUDS2	X	X
Elk Grove	EVGVILEGDS1	X	X
Joliet West	JOLTILJWDS0	X	X

